

Blackboard®

CATALYST AWARD

Student Success Award - 2018 Nomination Form

Thank you for your interest in the 2018 Blackboard Catalyst Award Program! You may nominate yourself, a peer, or a team. Nominations may be made by Blackboard customers and Blackboard staff members.

ANZ Nominations:

The deadline for submitting all nominations is Friday 27th July, 2018. Winners will be notified in early August.

Award winners will be announced in the Closing Session at the Teaching & Learning Conference ANZ 2018 on Friday 31st August.

Please email Anz@blackboard.com if you have any questions.

Award Description

This award recognises individuals and/or institutions whose creative program application has led to increased retention, increased completion, and/or improved outcomes through the implementation of new academic and/or administrative resources, data analytics solutions, scalable advising and intervention strategies, student support programs, and more. Submissions should focus on (a) how they fostered student success and (b) provide quantitative evidence of their success.

This can be measured in terms of increased completion rates, increased student satisfaction (via a survey), an improvement in student learning outcomes, or an increase in student contact or interaction. Examples that demonstrate this achievement include but are not limited to:

- High impact courses and experiences that lead to higher levels of student satisfaction, retention, and completion rates
- Effective program evaluation practices that result in increased student outcomes
- Retention coaching or mentoring programs that lead to higher levels of student retention and student skills
- Broadening access to academic, technical, and/or transactional needs of students that lead to higher levels of student or institutional success

Nominations will be evaluated based on the following rubric:

Criteria for Evaluation	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Design	A clear and comprehensive description of the program or initiative identifies the goals (desired end result) and expected outcomes (measurable results) of the program.	Program goals and expected outcomes are described with minor gaps in the clarity or comprehensiveness of the goals and outcomes.	Program goals and expected outcomes are described with major gaps in the clarity or comprehensiveness of the goals and outcomes.	There is a vague description of the program, but goals and expected outcomes are not clearly described or are missing.
Structure	A description of the process and people involved in the program or initiative provides the reader with a clear understanding of the activities as well as the level of institutional commitment made.	Processes and people involved are described with minor gaps in reader's understanding of the activities and the level of institutional commitment.	Processes and people involved are described with major gaps in reader's understanding of the activities and the level of institutional commitment.	There is a vague description of the processes and people involved and an understanding of the activities together with the level of institutional commitment is difficult to understand.
Impact	The results are analysed and presented in easily understood quantitative terms and/or graphic displays that show the magnitude of the impact.	The results are presented in quantitative terms or graphic displays; however, the impact is not difficult to understand.	Raw data are presented but leave the reader to analyse, interpret and find understanding.	The results are presented, but cannot be interpreted or seem to not be directly related to the impact of the program or initiative.
Significance	Based on design, structure, and actual impact, the program or initiative could clearly be successful in other institutions.	Based on design, structure, and actual impact, the program or initiative could be successful in other institutions with minor modifications.	Based on design, structure, and actual impact, the program or initiative could be successful in other institutions with major modifications.	Based on design, structure, and actual impact, the program or initiative would not be successful in other institutions.

Submission Details

Are you nominating: *

What was the nature of the achievement? Describe the strategy that was followed to achieve the results. *

What was the significance and impact of this achievement? *

Please provide additional quantitative evidence and metrics associated with the achievement here. How was the evidence measured? What does the data prove? *

Please provide any additional evidence here to support the nomination:

Do you have any supporting documentation to add?

Select a file

(maximum size 100MB)

If you have a link to share, please insert here:

Contact Information

Nominee's Contact Information

Please enter the nominee's contact information here. If you are nominating yourself, please provide your contact information.

Nominee's First Name: *

Nominee's Last Name: *

Institution/Organisation: *

Job Title: *

Address Line 1: *

Address Line 2:

City: *

State/Province/Region:

Postal Code: *

Country: *

Phone Number: *

E-mail Address: *

If nominating a team, please list everyone's names and titles.

Submitter's Contact Information

If you are nominating yourself, you do not need to fill out this section.

Submitter's First Name:

Submitter's Last Name:

Institution/Organisation:

Job Title:

Phone Number:

E-mail Address:

Is the nominee aware of your nomination?

- Yes, the nominee(s) knows.
 No, the nominee(s) does not know.

Permission

By submitting this nomination you are permitting Blackboard to share the accomplishment information with other individuals in the community. It will be noted that this information came from the person making the nomination.

(please select): *

I understand the above statement

Save Draft

Submit

[Return to Menu](#)

POWERED BY
wizehive